WY 0 3 7003 A

<u>~</u>

Appl. No. 10/043,400

Amdt. Dated October 29, 2003

Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of September 23, 2003

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : Keith M. Murr et al.

Application No. : 10/043,400

Filed : 01/10/2002

Title : PROTECTIVE CONTACT COVER FOR CHIP

SOCKET

Group/Art Unit : 2833

Examiner : Phuongchi T. Nguyen

Docket No. : 17708

RECEIVED

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

This communication is in response to the Examiner's Office Action dated 09/23/2003.

The Applicants hereby request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the Final Office Action issued on September 23, 2003, as said Final Rejection is premature. The Examiner issued the present Office Action on September 23, 2003, as a Final Rejection, yet it contains new grounds of rejection not necessitated by Applicants'

 Appl. No. 10/043,400

**6**, 1

Amdt. Dated October 29, 2003

Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of September 23, 2003

Response dated July 7, 2003. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the removal of the prior Final Rejection. Applicants believe that the Examiner has submitted new grounds of rejection for claims 19-20.

The original Office Action was issued by the Examiner on April 7, 2003, and rejected claims 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over McHugh '624 in view of Newell, and further in view of McHugh '875 (See Office Action Dated April 7, 2003, Paragraph 6).

Applicants' response dated July 7, 2003, does not amend claims 19-20, nor does it amend claim 9 from which claims 19 and 20 depend.

In the present Final Office Action dated September 23, 2003, the Examiner has now changed the rejection of claims 19-20, such that he has now rejected claims 19-20 under a combination of McHugh '624 and Newell.

Accordingly, as the Examiner introduced a new ground of rejection for claims 19-20 that was not necessitated by an amendment of the claims by the Applicants nor based upon information submitted in an Information Disclosure Statement filed during the period set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.97(c), the Final Office Action was premature. M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a).

Notwithstanding Applicants' Request for Reconsideration, Applicants are simultaneously addressing the merits of the Office Action, as Applicants believe that the substance of a new non-final action will be

Appl. No. 10/043,400

**a**,

Amdt. Dated October 29, 2003

Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of September 23, 2003

substantially that provided by the final rejection which was issued. This Response is being filed concurrently herewith. The Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' representative below if he has any questions or comments.

Respectfully, submitted,

Eric J./Groen

Registration No. 32,230 Attorney for Applicant

Baker & Daniels

205 West Jefferson Blvd., Suite 250

South Bend, IN 46601 Tel: (574)234-4149

Fax: (574)239-1900

Enclosure: Postcard

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

October 29, 2003

Date

Eric J. Groen, Reg. No. 32,230